arXiv:1605.08546v1 [hep-ph] 27 May 2016

TWO-BODY CHARMED B MESON DECAYS IN FACTORIZATION ASSISTED TOPOLOGICAL AMPLITUDE APPROACH

CAI-DIAN LÜ AND SI-HONG ZHOU
Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

We analyze the two-body charmed B meson decays $B_{u,d,s} \to D^{(*)}P(V)$ in the factorization assisted topological amplitude approach, where $P(V)$ denoting a light pseudoscalar (vector) meson. Different from the conventional topological diagram approach, flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effects are taken into account. Therefore only four universal nonperturbative parameters are introduced to describe the contribution from non-factorization diagrams for all the decay channels. The number of free parameters and the χ^2 per degree of freedom are both significantly reduced comparing with the conventional topological diagram approach. With the 4 fitted parameters, we predict the branching fractions of 120 decay modes induced by both $b \to c$ and $b \to u$ transitions, which are well consistent with the measured data or to be tested on the future experiments. We also investigated the relative size of different topological diagrams, isospin violation, flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effects, compared with previous approaches.

1 Introduction

The charmed hadronic B mesons decays $B \to D^{(*)}P(V)$ are of great interest attributed to their theoretical application of heavy quark symmetry. These processes serve as a good testing ground for various theoretical issues in hadronic B decays, such as factorization hypothesis, flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking, and isospin violation, which are essential for the study on CP asymmetry in other channels. Experimentally, plenty of two-body charmed hadronic B decays have been observed from the heavy flavor experiments [1]. In the theoretical side, the factorization of the color-favored decays has been proved within the QCD factorization approach [2]and the soft-collinear effective theory [3]. However, the color suppressed modes was found with a very large branching ratio experimentally, which provide evidence for a failure of the naive factorization and for sizeable relative strong-interaction phases between different isospin amplitudes [4]. This was confirmed in the perturbative QCD (PQCD) approach based on k_T factorization [5,6,7]. The rescattering effects of $B \to D^{(*)}P(V)$ had also been studied within some models [8]. Under the assumption of the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry, the global fits were performed in the topological quark diagram approach [9], where the magnitudes and the strong phases of the topologically distinct amplitudes were studied, but the information of SU(3) asymmetry was lost. Due to the large difference between pseudoscalar and vector meson, their χ^2 fit has to be performed for each category of decays to result in three sets of parameters.

Recently, the factorization assisted topological amplitude (FAT) approach was proposed to study the two-body hadronic decays of D mesons [10,11]. By involving the non-factorizable contributions and the SU(3) symmetry breaking effect, most theoretical predictions of the D decays were in much better agreement with experimental data. The prediction of direct CP asymmetry in D meson decays by this approach is in the best precision than before [12]. In this

framework, the two-body hadronic weak decay amplitudes are firstly decomposed in terms of some distinct quark diagrams similar to the conventional topological diagrammatic approach. Then in order to keep the flavor $SU(3)$ breaking effects in the decay amplitudes, we factorize out the decay constants and form factors formally from each topological amplitude. The topological amplitude is then universal for all decay channels after factorization of those hadronic parameters that can be treated as nonperturbative parameters for non-factorization topological diagrams or they are effective Wilson coefficients for factorization contributions.

In the present work, we shall generalize the FAT approach to study the two-body charmed non-leptonic B mesons decays. Only 4 theoretical parameters need to be fitted from the available experimental data for 31 decay channels.

2 The Amplitudes of $B \to D^{(*)}P(V)$ decays in FAT Approach

The topological diagrams in the $b \to c$ transitions includes color-favored tree emission diagram T, color-suppressed tree emission C, and W-exchange diagram E, as shown in Fig.1. Note that the W-annihilation diagram A does not occur in the $b \to c$ transition processes, but only appears in the $b \to u$ transitions. In terms of the factorization hypothesis, the three diagrams of the $\overline{B} \to DP$ modes can be written as

$$T_c^{DP} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{cb}V_{uq}^* a_1(\mu) f_P (m_B^2 - m_D^2) F_0^{B\to D}(m_P^2), \tag{1}$$

$$C_c^{DP} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{cb}V_{uq}^* f_D (m_B^2 - m_P^2) F_0^{B\to P}(m_D^2) \chi_c^C e^{i\phi_c^C}, \tag{2}$$

$$E_c^{DP} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{cb}V_{uq}^* m_B^2 f_B \frac{f_{D_{(s)}} f_P}{f_D f_\pi} \chi_c^E e^{i\phi_c^E}, \tag{3}$$

where the subscript c stands for the processes induced by $b \to c$ transition. a_1 is the effective Wilson coefficient for factorization diagram T. f_P and f_D are the decay constants of the light pseudoscalar meson and D meson, respectively. $F_0^{B\to D}$ and $F_0^{B\to P}$ are the scalar form factors of the $\overline{B} \to D$ and $\overline{B} \to P$ transitions. The contributions from non-factorization dominated diagram C are parameterized as χ_c^C, with its relative strong phase ϕ_c^C; while the contributions from W exchange diagram E are χ_c^E and ϕ_c^E.



Figure 1 – Topological diagrams in the $b \to c$ transitions: (a) the color-favored tree diagram, T; (b) the color-suppressed tree diagram, C; and (c) the W-exchange annihilation-type diagram, E. Note that the E diagram occurs only in the $\overline{B}_d^0$ and $\overline{B}_s^0$ decays.

Similarly to the amplitudes of $\overline{B} \to DP$ decays, the topological amplitudes of T, C and E of the $\overline{B} \to D^*P$ and $\overline{B} \to DV$ decays can be given respectively by

$$T_c^{D^*P} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* a_1(\mu) f_P m_{D^*} A_0^{B\to D^*}(m_P^2)(\varepsilon_{D^*}^* \cdot p_B), \tag{4}$$

$$C_c^{D^*P} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* f_{D^*} m_{D^*} F_1^{B\to P}(m_{D^*}^2)(\varepsilon_{D^*}^* \cdot p_B)\chi_c^C e^{i\phi_c^C}, \tag{5}$$

$$E_c^{D^*P} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* m_{D^*} f_B \frac{f_{D^*_{(s)}} f_P}{f_D f_\pi} \chi_c^E e^{i\phi_c^E}(\varepsilon_{D^*}^* \cdot p_B); \tag{6}$$

and

$$T_c^{DV} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* a_1(\mu) f_V m_V F_1^{B\to D}(m_V^2)(\varepsilon_V^* \cdot p_B), \tag{7}$$

$$C_c^{DV} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* f_D m_V A_0^{B\to V}(m_D^2)(\varepsilon_V^*\cdot p_B)\chi_c^C e^{i\phi_c^C}, \quad (8)$$

$$E_c^{DV} = \sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}V_{uq}^* m_V f_B \frac{f_{D_{(s)}} f_V}{f_D f_\pi}\chi_c^E e^{i\phi_c^E}(\varepsilon_V^*\cdot p_B). \quad (9)$$

In above functions, $\varepsilon_{D^*}^*$ and ε_V^* represent the polarization vectors of the D^* and V meson, respectively. f_{D^*} and f_V are the decay constants of the corresponding vector mesons. $F_1^{B\to D}$ and $F_1^{B\to P}$ stand for the vector form factors of $\overline{B}\to D$ and $\overline{B}\to P$ transitions, $A_0^{B\to D^*}$ and $A_0^{B\to V}$ are the transition form factors of $B\to D^*$ and $\overline{B}\to V$, respectively. Note that, after factorizing out the corresponding form factors and decay constants, we can use the same non-perturbative universal parameters for all the three categories of $\overline{B}\to DP$, $\overline{B}\to D^*P$ and $\overline{B}\to DV$ decays. The total number of free parameters to be fitted from experimental data is four. This is contrast to the conventional topological diagram approach [9], where 15 parameters needed for the three categories of processes.

3 Numerical results and discussion

With the 31 experimental data induced by $b\to c$ transition [13] and using χ^2 fit, we extract the four parameters with the best-fitted values as

$$\chi_c^C = 0.48\pm 0.01, \quad \phi_c^C = (56.6^{+3.2}_{-3.8})^\circ, \quad \chi_c^E = 0.024^{+0.002}_{-0.001}, \quad \phi_c^E = (123.9^{+3.3}_{-2.2})^\circ, \quad (10)$$

with $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 1.4$. Even though with much more parameters than us, the χ^2 per degree of freedom is larger than ours in ref. [9]. With so many parameters, they lost the predictive power of the branching fractions, because there are not enough data of $B\to\overline{D}^{(*)}P(V)$ decays. By contrast, with only 4 fitted parameters, we can predict 120 branching fractions of $b\to c$ and $b\to u$ transition processes [14], where we had employed an approximation that the four non-factorizable parameters in the $b\to u$ processes are the same as those in the $b\to c$ processes. The factorizable contribution from W annihilation diagram A are calculated in the pole model [15]. Our results are consistent with experimental data or to be tested in the LHCb and Belle-II experiments in the future.

The hierarchies of topological amplitudes are obtained as follows:

$$|T_c^{DP}| : |C_c^{DP}| : |E_c^{DP}| \sim 1 : 0.45 : 0.1 \quad (11)$$

$$|T_c^{D^*P}| : |C_c^{D^*P}| : |E_c^{D^*P}| \sim 1 : 0.36 : 0.1 \quad (12)$$

$$|T_c^{DV}| : |C_c^{DV}| : |E_c^{DV}| \sim 1 : 0.31 : 0.1. \quad (13)$$

It is obvious that the amplitudes of non-factorizable dominated color-suppressed C diagrams are relatively larger in the FAT approach compared with the QCD-inspired methods [2,3,6,7], for example, the relation were $|T_c^{DP}| \gg |C_c^{DP}| \sim |E_c^{DP}|$ in the PQCD approach. The relatively larger C diagrams have significant impacts on the processes without T diagrams. For example, the topological amplitudes of $\overline{B}^0\to D^0\rho^0$ and $D^0\omega$ decays are $(E-C)/\sqrt{2}$ and $(E+C)/\sqrt{2}$, respectively. The branching fraction of the $D^0\rho^0$ mode is predicted to be almost one half of that of the $D^0\omega$ mode in the PQCD approach [6], since C and E diagrams contribute destructively for the former mode but constructively for the latter one, which does not agree with the experiment. However, this issue can be easily explained in the FAT approach in which both channels are dominated by the C diagram. It is easy to see that there is non-negligible difference for the C contributions between different category of decays $B\to DP$, $B\to D^*P$ and $B\to DV$. This is the major reason that the conventional topological digram approach can not fit the three categories of decays together. On the other hand, the strong phase of C diagram in eq.(10) is universal for all three kinds of decays, which agree with the soft-collinear effective theory [16].

The isospin-amplitude ratio in $\overline{B}\to D\pi$ system showing significant deviation from the heavy-quark limit can be traced back to the large color-suppressed C topologies due to ignored

contributions from E diagrams. The flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effect in $\overline{B} \to DM$ is about $10 \sim 20\%$ at the amplitude level. By test the $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effect in the $B_u^- \to D^0 K^-$ and $B_u^- \to D^0 \pi^-$, we conclude that the source of $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking is mainly from the decay constants of light mesons in T diagram dominated decay modes as factorization hypothesis expected. The $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effect in $\overline{B}_s^0 \to D_s^{*\mp} K^\pm$ and $\overline{B}_s^0 \to D_s^{*\mp} \pi^\pm$ is a little smaller than measurements implies they might be more sizable than we expected.

4 Conclusions

Under the framework of the factorization assisted topological amplitude approach, we analyzed $B \to D^{(*)} P(V)$ decays. By using the factorization results for T diagram, only four universal nonperturbative parameters for non-factorization dominated C and W exchange diagram E were introduced to be fitted from the 31 well measured branching fractions. With the fitted results, we then predicted the branching fractions of all 120 $B_{u,d,s} \to D^{(*)} P(V)$ decay modes. For the modes induced by $b \to c$ transition, most results agree with the experimental data well. Comparing with previous topological diagram analysis, the number of free parameters and the χ^2 per degree of freedom are both significantly reduced. The $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking is more than 10%, and even reach 31% at the amplitude level. The unmeasured branching fractions, especially those processes dominated by $b \to u$ transition, and possible larger $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking effects will be measured or tested in the ongoing LHCb experiment and the forthcoming Bell-II experiment.

Acknowledgments

We thank Y. B. Wei, Q. Qin, Y. Li and F. S. Yu for excellent collaborations. The work is partly supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11375208, 11521505 and 11235005).

References

1. Y. Amhis *et al.* (Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) Collaboration, 2014). .
2. M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert and C. T. Sachrajda,*Nucl. Phys.* B **591**, 313 (()2000).
3. C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart,*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 201806 (2001).
4. M. Neubert and A.A. Petrov, *Phys. Lett.* B **519**, 50 (2001).
5. C. D. Lü *Phys. Rev.* D **68**, 097502 (2003); Y. Y. Keum, T. Kurimoto, H. N. Li, C. D. Lu and A. I. Sanda,*Phys. Rev.* D **69**, 094018 (2004).
6. R. H. Li, C. D. Lu and H. Zou,*Phys. Rev.* D **78**, 014018 (2008).
7. H. Zou, R. H. Li, X. X. Wang and C. D. Lu,*J. Phys.* G **37**, 015002 (2010).
8. C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou and K. C. Yang,*Phys. Rev.* D **65**, 096007 (2002); C. K. Chua and W. S. Hou,*Phys. Rev.* D **77**, 116001 (2008).
9. C. W. Chiang and E. Senaha,*Phys. Rev.* D **75**, 074021 (2007).
10. H. N. Li, C. D. Lü and F. S. Yu,*Phys. Rev.* D **86**, 036012 (2012).
11. H. N. Li, C. D. Lü, Q. Qin and F. S. Yu,*Phys. Rev.* D **89**, 054006 (2014).
12. F.s. Yu , C.-D. Lü, X.-X. Wang, e-Print: arXiv:1105.4503 [hep-ph], electronic conference proceedings: C11-03-20; Q. Qin, H.-n. Li, C.-D. Lü, Fu-Sheng Yu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. 29 (2014) 1460209
13. K. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), *Chin.Phys.* C **38**, 090001 (2014).
14. S.H. Zhou, Y.B. Wei, Q. Qin, Y. Li, F.S. Yu and C.D. Lu,*Phys. Rev.* D **92**, 094016 (2015).
15. G. Kramer and C. D. Lu,*Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **13**, 3361 (1998).
16. S. Mantry, D. Pirjol, I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D68, 114009 (2003).